Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

Sanjeev Thakur, Village Gudha Khurd, Post Office Madhopur Cantonment – 145 024

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Civil Surgeon, Pathankot. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Director, Health, Punjab, Sector-34, Chandigarh.

### Appeal Case No. 949 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

### PRESENT:

Sanjeev Thakur (Appellant) (via Cisco Webex) 98142-89764 Dr. Priyanka (for the Respondent) 70095-04196

### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **8.9.2020**, **14.10.2020**, **26.11.2020**, **30.6.2021**, **26.8.2021**, **13.10.2021**, **16.11.2021**, **24.11.2021**, **14.12.2021**, and **9.2.2022**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **29.11.2019** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding appointment of Dr. Priyanka etc.**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **2.1.2020** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **2.3.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last on **9.2.2022**.
- 2. Both parties are present via Cisco Webex. In a reply to the Show Cause Notice issued by this Commission on 9.2.2022, vide Letter No. 1524 Dated 3.3.2022, the respondent PIO has submitted a copy of the reply sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 1034 dated 11.2.2020 along with the information and a copy of the dispatch register. A copy of a demand draft sent to the appellant by way of the compensation ordered by this Commission on 13.10.2021, is also appended.
- 3. The Commission has examined the PIO's reply as well as objections submitted from the appellant (received in this Commission vide Diary No. 4323 Dated 3.3.2022). The appellant has confirmed that he has received the compensation amount. The appellant has sought information on 2 points as under:-
- i) Appointment of Dr. Priyanka as in charge of reimbursement and cancer bill who is phasing enquiry of same section of billing.
- ii) Whether she has been cleared in the enquiry or not copy of enquiry report.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



## Appeal Case No. 949 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

- 4. The respondent PIO has provided information pertaining to point no. 1 by way of Office Order vide Letter No. 3666 Dated 18.6.2021, regarding the appointment of Dr. Priyanka. Regarding point no. 2, which seeks outcome of a purported enquiry against the aforesaid employee along with the enquiry report, this Commission is of the considered view that such information is exempt from disclosure as per Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act 2005.
- 5. Following from the above, this RTI application has now been adequately addressed. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

Brich Bhan, S/o Saroop Chand, H.No. 33, Kahangarh Road, Patran, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Patiala.

## Appeal Case No. 5074 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Pardeep Kumar, Junior Assistant (for the Respondent) 96467-00608 Hakam Singh, Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 98769-48485 Vinod Kumar, Multipurpose Health Worker (for the Respondent) 98889-50298

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **29.6.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>by</u> way of copies of affidavits submitted by candidates in Punjab Assembly Elections **2017** in Shutrana, Patiala and Samana, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **13.9.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **9.11.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **28.4.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent but has informed the Commission of his inability to attend this hearing. The respondent PIO, in a reply to the Commission's Notice of Hearing, vide Letter No. 85 Dated 26.4.2022, states that the requested information which is publically available on the website of the Election Commission of Punjab, has also been sent to the appellant by way of attested copies. A copy of said information has also been sent to this Commission.
- 3. The respondent PIO is hereby advised that any information available on a publicly accessible website need not be supplied under the RTI Act, 2005. In such cases the applicant is merely to be informed about said website.
- 4. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

Brich Bhan, S/o Saroop Chand, H.No. 33, Kahangarh Road, Patran, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Patiala

## Appeal Case No. 5119 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Mandeep Singh, Drug Inspector (for the Respondent) 87278-73008 Manish Kumar, Dealing Assistant (for the Respondent)

### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **5.7.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding diagnostic laboratories running in the medical stores in block Patran</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **21.9.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **11.11.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **28.4.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent but has informed the Commission of his inability to attend this hearing. The respondent PIO, represented by Mandeep Singh, Drug Inspector from the office of Civil Surgeon, Patiala, and Manish Kumar, Dealing Assistant, state that a reply has already been given in this matter in an earlier RTI application addressed to the office of Civil Surgeon, wherein the appellant was informed that laboratories are not regulated by the District Administration or the office of Civil Surgeon and therefore, their number etc. is not available.
- 3. The respondent PIO is advised to submit this in writing to the appellant with a copy to this Commission.
- 4. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

Ram Joginder, S/o Param Ram, C-34, Model Town West, Gaziabad – 201 001

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Patiala.

## Appeal Case No. 5130 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Ram Joginder (Appellant) (via Cisco Webex) Sukhwinder Singh, Kanungo (for the Respondent) 98884-18331

### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **9.7.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding jamabandies from 1952 to 2021 in the names of Atma Ram, Diya Ram, Paras Ram, Sant Ram and Beli Ram son of Kirpa Ram son of Nandu Ram of Village Gobindpura in Tehsil Nabha, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **1.9.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **11.11.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **28.4.2022**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Sukhwinder Singh, Kanungo, states that this RTI application was transferred to the office of Tehsildar Nabha who has written to the appellant more than once advising him to collect the copies of Jamabandies cited in the RTI application after depositing the requisite fees stipulated by the State Government. The appellant has however, not responded to said letters.
- 3. During deliberations at this hearing, the appellant was advised to deposit the fees stipulated by the State Government and obtain copies of the cited Jamabandies from the office of Tehsildar Nabha.
- 4. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

Hari Dass, s/o Malak Chand, Village Buchrey, PO Sadhugarh, Tehsil & District Fatehgarh Sahib.

### **Versus**

Public Information officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Inspector General of Police, Patiala Range, Patiala

## Appeal Case No. 5217 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Hari Dass (Appellant) 98142-68300 ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 62339-82833

### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **28.10.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding FIR No. 161 Dated 3.6.2018, copies of statements recorded and copy of the cancellation report, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **9.12.2020** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **17.11.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **28.4.2022**.
- 2. Both parties are present. As per a reply received from the respondent PIO vide Letter No. 420 Dated 24.2.2022, a cancellation report was submitted to the concerned court for the FIR cited in the RTI application and that the court has accepted said cancellation report vide its Order of 28.12.2018. The office of SSP-cum-PIO duly informed the First Appellate Authority with a copy to the appellant vide Letter No. 302-3 Dated 28.1.2021. The appellant has acknowledged receipt of said letter. He however, says that he has not been given copy of the Court Order or the cancellation report.
- 3. The appellant is advised to seek copy of said Court Order from the concerned court. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

**Lal Singh,**S/o Dalip Singh,
Village Bathoi Kalan, PO Dakala,
District Patiala.

#### **Versus**

Public Information officer, o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Patiala.

## Appeal Case No. 5032 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent Harpreet Singh, Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 96469-99506

### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **9.6.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding application dated 28.9.2020**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **10.8.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **2.11.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **28.4.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent. The respondent PIO has, in a reply to this Commission's Notice of Hearing vide Letter No. 178 Dated 16.3.2022, submitted a copy of letter written to the Commission by the appellant on 21.1.2022 wherein the appellant has stated that he has received the requested information and no longer wishes to pursue this Appeal Case.
- 3. In view of the above, there is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.